10/541265 REC'D 16 MAR 2005

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON See Form PCT/IPEA/416
P205679PCT YGR/jdo International application No. International filing date		
PCT/NL2004/000002 06.01.2004		06.01.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) o F16M7/00	r national classification and IPC	
Applicant MACHINE SUPPORT B.V. et al		
Authority under Article 35 and	transmitted to the applicant ac	
2. This REPORT consists of a to		cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:		
a. \(\text{Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau} \) a total of 4 sheets, as for		a total of 4 sheets, as follows:
and/or sheets cont Administrative Inst	aining rectifications authorized ructions).	which have been amended and are the basis of this report by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ sheets which supe beyond the disclos Supplemental Box	sure in the international applica	n this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes tion as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. (sent to the Internation	al Bureau only) a total of (indic	cate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a puter readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental f the Administrative Instructions).
4. This report contains indication	ns relating to the following item	is: .
☐ Box No. I Basis of the	opinion	
☐ Box No. II Priority		
☐ Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unit	ty of Invention	
	statement under Article 35(2) v y; citations and explanations su	with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial upporting such statement
DOX 140. VI CONTAIN GO	cuments cited	
	ects in the international application	
☐ Box No. VIII Certain ob	servations on the international	application
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report
04.08.2004		17.03.2005
Name and mailing address of the interpreliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer
	- P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 Pays Bas Tx: 31 651 epo nl	Das Neves, N
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. +31 70 340-4879

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/00002

	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it we filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	which i □ inte □ pub	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacent have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are re report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		rd to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets wh</i> In furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	
	Description	n, Pages	
	1-14	as originally filed	
	Claims, Nu	mbers	
	1-22	received on 03.11.2004 with letter of 03.11.2004	
	Drawings, S	Sheets	
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed	
	□ a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	 □ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 		
	* If it	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	



International application No. PCT/NL2004/000002

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-3,8-22

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-3,8-22

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-3,8-22

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2004/000002

Re Item I Basis of the report

On special request of the applicant the examination has been limited to the claims 1-3 and 8-22 filed on 03/11/2004.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2 Examination of claims 1-3 and 8-22 with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability is as follows:
- 3 Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: US-A-6 068 234 (KEUS ELBERT CHRISTOFFEL EDWARD) 30 May 2000 (2000-05-30)
- D2: US-A-4 061 298 (KOBER HUBERT REINHARD) 6 December 1977 (1977-12-06)
- 4 Referring to independent claim 1:
- 4.1 The document **D2** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 4.1.1 Adjustable foot for setting up equipment in alignment, comprising:
 - an annular element (1) provided with an axial bore (5) with internal screw thread (6);
 - a shaft element (8) provided with external screw thread matching the internal screw thread (6), which shaft element (8), when screwed into the bore (5), can be adjusted in the axial direction with respect to the annular element (1) by turning with respect to the annular element (1);
 - a support part (9), provided on the top of the shaft element (8), and
 - a washer (10),

wherein the washer (10) and the support part (9) are each provided with a

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)

concave respectively convex surface having essentially the same radius of curvature, such that the angle of the washer (10) can be adjusted with respect to the support part (9), wherein the top surface of the annular element (1) is made sloping downwards in the radially outward direction, and the support part (9) is provided at the top of the shaft element (8).

- 4.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known adjustable foot in that the convex surface is provided on the washer and the concave surface on the support part and in that the support part is located completely within a contour determined by the diameter of the external screw thread, and in that the external diameter of the washer is at most equal to the external diameter of the shaft element (second adjustable part).
- 4.3 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 4.4 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as minimising the overall height of the adjustable foot.
- 4.5 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
- 4.5.1 None of the documents cited in the search report gives any hint that would lead the skilled person to the solution present in the characterizing portion of independent claim 1. Namely, the measure consisting in having the support part located completely within a contour determined by the diameter of the external screw thread, and in having the external diameter of the washer at most equal to the external diameter of the shaft element (second adjustable part) is the result of a step that was not suggested in the cited documents.
- 4.6 The subject-matter of claim 1 has an industrial applicability.
- 5 Referring to independent claim 9:

- 5.1 The document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 5.1.1 Adjustable foot (1) for setting up equipment in alignment, comprising:
 - an annular element (2) provided with an axial bore (4) with internal screw thread;
 - a shaft element (8) provided with external screw thread (10) matching the internal screw thread, which shaft element (8), when screwed into the bore (4), can be adjusted in the axial direction with respect to the annular element (2) by turning with respect to the annular element (2);
 - a support part (13), provided on the top of the shaft element (8), and
 - a washer (17),
 - wherein the washer (17) and the support part (13) are each provided with a convex respectively concave surface having essentially the same radius of curvature, such that the angle of the washer (17) can be adjusted with respect to the support part (13); and
 - a cap (27) with a diameter greater than the diameter of the internal screw thread and/or greater than the diameter of the washer (17).
- 5.2 The subject-matter of claim 9 differs from this known adjustable foot in that the support part is located completely within a contour determined by the diameter of the external screw thread, and in that the external diameter of the washer is at most equal to the external diameter of the shaft element (second adjustable part).
- 5.3 The subject-matter of claim 9 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

.

- 5.4 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as minimising the overall height of the adjustable foot.
- 5.5 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 9 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
- 5.5.1 None of the documents cited in the search report gives any hint that would lead

the skilled person to the solution present in the characterizing portion of independent claim 9. Namely, the measure consisting in having the support part located completely within a contour determined by the diameter of the external screw thread, and in having the external diameter of the washer at most equal to the external diameter of the shaft element (second adjustable part) is the result of a step that was not suggested in the cited documents.

- 5.6 The subject-matter of claim 9 has an industrial applicability.
- 6 Claims 2-3, 8-22 are dependent on claims 1 and/or 9 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step (the case when these claims are dependent on 4-7 is not examined, see paragraph 4 above).

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

According to the requirements of Rule 10.2 PCT, the terminology and the signs shall be consistent throughout the application. This requirement is not met in view of the use of **e.g.** the expressions "shaft element" and "second adjustable part" for the same feature (see claims 1, 9 and 16 and the description).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 11 and 17 are not clear. The reasons therefor are the following:
- 8.1 Claim 11 is presently drafted as being dependent "to one of the preceding claims".

 That is unclear as claim 11 relates to a feature, namely the cap, that is not present in all of the preceding claims, i.e. 1-3, 8-10, but only in claims 8 and 9.
- 8.2 The present set of claims lacks conciseness as the additional feature of dependent claim 17 is already present in both independent claim 1 and 9. Therefore claim 17 is merely a repetition of features.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 4) (EPO-January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2004/000002